#### [ 211 ]

1. A Copy of an Ancient Chirograph, or Conveyance of Part of a Sepulchre, cut in Marble, lately brought from Rome, and now in the Possession of Sir Hans Sloane, Bart. R. S. Pr. with some Observations upon it by Roger Gale, Efg. V.P. R. & Tr. R. S.

Dijs Manibus Marci Herennij Proti, vixit annos viginti duos 4 Menses duos, Dies quinque, secerunt Parentes Marcus Herennius Agricola et 6 Herennia Lacena Filio. 7 Chirographum. Ollaria numero quatuor 8 Cineraria quinquaginta tria intrantibus par-9 te læva quæ funt in monumento 10 Titi Flavij Artemidori, quod est Viâ 11 Salarià in agro Volusi Basilides 12 Ientibus ab Urbe parte sinistra, Do-13 nationis causa Mancipio accepit 14 Marcus Herennius Agricola de Tito Flavio 15 Artemidoro Sestertio nummo Uno, Libripende Marco 16 Herennio Justo, Antestatus est Tiberium 17 Julium Erotem: Inque vacuam 18 Possessionem earum ollarum 10 Et Cinerariorum Titus Flavius Arte-20 midorus Herennio Agricolæ ire 21 Aut mittere, offaque inferre per-22 misit, sacrumque quotiens sace-23 re vellit Herennius Agricola 24. Heredesve ejus permisit, Clavisve 25 Ejus monumenti potestatem factu-26 rum se dixit, dolumque malum 27 Huic rei abesse afuturumque: 28 Se hæc rectè Dari, fieri præstari-29 que stipulatus est Marcus Herennius 30 Agricola, spepondit Titus Flavius 31 Artemidorus. Actum 18° Kalendas Januarii 32 Caio Calpurnio Flacco, Lucio Trebio

THIS

COS. E e

33 Germano

## [ 212 ]

THIS Marble, lately arrived from Rome, and now reposited in the noble Museum of Sir Hans Sloane, is a most valuable Piece of Antiquity, as exhibiting a compleat Formula of a Chirograph, or Conveyance of one Part of a Burying-Place from one Family to another, but neither of them of any Note, seeming by their Agnomina to have been only Liberti, or descended from such. Agricola indeed is a Roman Name, but those of his Wise Lacena, and his Son Protus, are both Greek.

By this Chirograph (Line 7th, 8th, &c.) Herennius Agricola obtains from Titus Flavius Artemidorus, a Right to four Ollaria, which were Niches or Repositories, wherein they placed Cineraria, Urns, or Vessels of Stone, or Earth, containing the Ashes of the Dead, and were here in

Number Fifty-three.

Fabretti<sup>2</sup> indeed takes the Cineraria to have been Niches for receiving and keeping Urnas lapideas; but Gutherius de Jure Manium (Lib. II. c. 24.) tells us, that Offuariæ ollæ à Cinerarijs in eo differunt, quod hæ Cineres, illæ offa exciperent. Besides, if they were Niches, or the same as Ollaria, the mentioning of them, as in this Inscription, would be an unintelligible Tautology; and Spon (in his Miscell. Antiq. Erudit. p. 290.) gives us the following Inscription, which seems to put the Matter out of Dispute.

Romæ, in Operculo Vasis.

CINERARIVM

GEMELL. III. AELI

MARCI. ET PHILIPPI.

<sup>2</sup> Inscript. ant. in ædibus pat. p. 16, 17.

# [ 213 ]

From both which Authorities it is evident, that the Cineraria were Vasa, and not Repositories for them.

This Monument was situated on the lest Side of the Via Salaria, which ran to the North-west of Rome from the Porta Collina. It stood in the Ground of Volusius Basilides, and the Consideration for the Conveyance of it is one Sesterce. It is very usual in sepulchral Inscriptions to find the Monument of one Family in the Field of another, the Proprietor of the Monument reserving the Right of that to himself when he sold the Ground; or purchasing so much Ground from the Owner as was sufficient for erecting the Monument. All Sepulchres, when once a Body was interred therein, were esteemed as Religious and Sacred, and were not to sollow the Possession of the Field.

Mille pedes in fronte, trecentos cippus in agrum Hic dabat, Hæredes monumentum ne sequeretur. Hor. 1. Sat. 8.

Line 11. Basilides is a Blunder for Basilidis in the Genitive Case; and we shall meet with more of them before we get through this Inscription.

Line 11, 12. The Words Donationis causa mancipio accepit M. Herennius Agricola de Tito Flavio Artimedoro IIS N. I. are to be read Sestertio Nummo Uno, as is evidently demonstrated from the following Inscription, where you have also the rest of the Words of this Form of Conveyance. There is likewise in Gruter 2 an Inscription, where-

P. DCCCCLVI. 4.

# [ 214 ]

in the Words SESTERTIO NUMMO UNO are express'd at length.

HOC. MONVMENTVM. SIVE
SEPVLCHRVM. CVM. AREA. SVA
T. FVFICIVS. FELIX. DE
IVLIA. RVFINA. DONATIONIS
CAVSA. MANCIPIO. ACCEPIT
IIS. N. VNO. QVOD. COMPARAVIT
FVFICIAE. AMPLIATAE
CONIVGI CARISSIMAE, &c.

They were Verba solennia Donationis vel Alienationis causa qua fiebat per Mancipium. This Mancipation was often a fictitious Sale of a thing to make the Donation of it valid, as in this Case: And the Mention of one Sesterce given for it, is only Dicis gratia, much like our Form in Leafes for putting into Possession, In consideration of five Shillings in Hand paid. Frequent Examples occur of this Practice, as in the Inscription just now quoted from Fabretti a, and others in the same Author; and in Gruter (p. DCCCCLVI. 4. and MLXXXI. 1.) which latter is a compleat Formula of a like sepulchral Conveyance as this, but of a later Time, and not so well preserved; it being executed when the Emperor Trebonianus Gallus, and his Son Volusianus, were Consuls, A.D. 252; and ours probably, as will be shewn hereafter, during the Reign of Septimius Severus.

<sup>\*</sup> Inscript, ant, in æd. pat. p. 50.

Line 15.) LIBRJ PENDE is cut in our Marble as two distinct Words, as here represented, though in reality it should be but one, and signifies the Person that weighed or counted over the Money to the Seller: It should be read LIBRIPENDE, than whom there could not be a more proper Witness to the Purchase. At the beginning of the Roman State their Money was uncoined, and called As rude, or grave, therefore paid by Weight; whence comes the Word Libripens. Under Servius Tullius their fixth King, it begun to be coined, and paid by Tale; but the Person who counted it over to the Receiver, still retained his primitive Appella-Almost every considerable Town had its Libripendes, Persons of Skill in Money-Affairs, to determine Controversies about the Value of it.

An Inscription in Gruter (p.MCXV. I.) is a strong Evidence of this: It was found at Nola in Campania, and shows they had two Lib ipendes there appointed by publick Authority.

T. VEDIVS. T.F.
T. VITORIVS. CN. F

H. VIRI

LIBRIPENDES
EX. DD.

This Name they had, Quia libram aneam tenebant quâ nummos penderent;

- Libra mercatus & Ære. Hor. Epist. II. 2.

And hence we have the Words Stipendium, Difpendium, Expensa, and the like. In Apuleius's Metamorph. Book Xth, is the following Passage: --- Sed ne fortè aliquis, inquam, istorum quos offers Aureorum, Nequam vel Adulter reperiatur, in hoc ip so sacculo conditos eos annulo tuo pranota, donec altero die Nummulario prasente comprobentur, where this Nummularius seems to be the same as the Libripens, who was generally called in to count over and examine the Money at Payments for Purchases, though sometimes a private Person or Friend to the Parties might probably perform this Office for them, and be an Evidence, upon Occasion, to the Facts: To which end also they used to adhibit another Witness, as Herennius Agricola does here, who was one Tiberius Julius Erotes, and fometimes they added five more. The Form and Manner of doing it, was by asking a By-stander, Licetne antestari? If he consented, the Demandant touched, or pulled, the lower Part of his Ear, as a Memorandum of what passed; whence Horace in his IXth Satire.

— — casu venit obvius illi Adversarius, & quo tu turpissime? magnâ Exclamat voce, & Licet Antestari? ego verd Oppono Auriculam——

By the Law of the XII Tables, if he that was called to testify in this manner, or the Libripens refused afterwards to give his Evidence in the Case, they were adjudged infamous. A. Gel. 1. xv.c.14.

Line 18.) EARVM OLLARVM feems to be a Mistake for EORVM OLLARIORVM.

### [ 217 ]

Line 20 to 27.) are Covenants usual upon this Occasion, as may be seen in the like sepulchral Contracts, particularly the before-mentioned in Gruter (p. MLXXXI. 1.) and many other Donations and Orders about Monuments in his voluminous Collections and Paire Superior Contractions and Contract

on; as also in Fabretti, and Reinesius.

Line 28.) SE feems to have been a Blunder of the Marmorarius for SIBI, SE DARI being perfectly ungrammatical. But in the Contract aforefaid, given us by Gruter, the Words run, De eare dolum malum abesse, afuturumque a te, Hærede tuo, & ab his omnibus ad quos eares pertinebit, hac SIC rectè dari, sieri, præstarique stipulatus est; which inclines me rather to believe, that SE in ours ought likewise to have been SIC. There are many palpable Mistakes in it, as I have before observed, as in Line the 23d VELLIT for VELIT, and CLAVISVE for CLAVISQVE, in the 24th.

The Roman Lawyers tell us, that Stipulatio erat Interrogatio certis, solennibusque verbis concepta; & apta, consentaneaque responsio, veluti spondes? fpondco. Dabis? Do. This is fully confirmed in ours, and the Gruterian Contract, (p. MLXXXI. 1.) Stipulatus est Marcus Herennius Agricola: Spepondit T. Flavius Artemidorus: In the latter. Stipulatus est Licinius Timotheus: Spepondit Statia Irene. The learned Mr. Mattaire observes from Aulus Gellius (Lib. vii. c. 9.) that ancient Authors used e instead of o, in those Verbs which have a Reduplication [in praterito tempore] as memordi, peposci, spepondi, for momordi, poposci, spopondi, used by more modern Writers; so that SPEPONDIT is no Mistake, but an Archaismus, as may be the Word IEN.

### [ 218 ]

IENTIBVS in the 12th Line; though it has not had the good Fortune to have been remarked, as the latter. Iens in the Nominative Case was used more than once by Cicero; and though he declines it, like all other Authors now in being, Euntis, Eunti, &c. yet it might originally have been declined Ientis, Ienti; but as there is now no Authority extant to warrant it, this must pass as meer Conjecture.

Line 32.) There are no fuch Names to be found in any of the Fasti Consulares as C. Calpurnius Flaccus and Lucius Trebius Germanus; fo they must have been not the Consules Ordinarij of the Year, but Suffecti. It is very strange that the Romans should so long adhere to this troublesome and uncertain Method of Computation by Years of their Confuls, fince they had frequently feveral Pairs of them in the same Year, especially after they fell under the Imperial Government. Some reckoned by the ordinary Consuls, who came into their Office upon the first of January, about 600 Years after the building of Rome; for 'till that Time the Month of their entring upon that Dignity was not fix'd; and others computed by the Suffecti, who might come in feveral Months after, as Vacancies happened, or as they were appointed by the Emperor, tho' their Names were feldom inserted in the Fasti. Besides this, it was impossible for any Man to remember how many Years were elapsed from the present Time upwards, to such and such Consuls, without Tables of their Succession, or having Recourse to some other Æra, as the A. V. C. anno Urbis condita, which they do not feem to have much regarded.

#### (219)

In Gruter (p. XLVI. 9.) is a long Inscription, mentioning TREBIVS GERMANVS, (though not as Consul,) in the Reign of Septimius Severus; and another (p. CCCLXXXII. 7.) of C. CALPVRNIVS FLACCVS: If these Men were the Consuls here referred to, as they might be, the Age of our Marble will be ascertained within a few Years.

The Stone is turned with an Arch at Top; the whole Length of it is 27 Inches and a half; the Breadth at the Bottom of it is ten Inches and a half, and at the Base of the Arch twelve Inches and a quarter, it widening gradually upwards. The Letters are cut in a small indisferent Character; that of the E and the F are remarkable, being always formed in this manner E. f. \* It was probably placed over or between the sour Niches, or OLLARIA granted to M. Herennius Agricola in this Monument by T. Flavius Artemidorus, in order to declare and affert the Right and Possession of them to the former, and his Family, 'till they were all filled.

<sup>\*</sup> As will appear by examining the engraved Copy of it in the Plate prefixed to this Transaction, which is taken very exactly to all the Dimensions by a Seal of half the criginal Size.





